UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH RUSSELL,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY,

Defendant.

Docket No. CV 05 2165 (JW) (MJB)

PROPOSED JUDGMENT

U.S. DISTRICT COURCE DINY.

P.M. \_\_\_\_\_ TIME A.M. \_\_\_\_

Defendant THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY, by its attorneys, GARBARINI & SCHER, P.C., having duly moved for an Order pursuant to F.R.C.P. § 12(b)(6) and 12(c) to dismiss the Complaint, and the motion having regularly coming on to be heard before me on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2005,

NOW, upon reading and filing the Notice of Motion dated May 24, 2005, the Affidavit of Gregg D. Weinstock dated May 24, 2005, with attached exhibits and Memorandum of Law, with due proof of service thereon in support of the motion, and upon all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, and there being no opposition thereto, and the matter having come on to be heard before me for oral argument on June 16, 2005, and Christine Fernandez Cordova, Esq., a member of the firm of GARBARINI & SCHER, P.C., appearing for defendant, and JOSEPII RUSSELL, *pro se*, appearing by telephone, and upon the Decision of the Court,

NOW, on motion of GARBARINI & SCHER, P.C., it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is granted; and it is further

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the Complaint is dismissed based upon *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, and the absence of any merit to the claim; and it is further

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this Judgment is without costs and disbursements.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
July , 2005

HONORABLE JACK B. WEINSTEIN
United State District Judge

مردل

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH RUSSELL,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY,

Defendant.

Docket No. CV 05 2165 (JW) (MJB)

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF JUDGMENT

SIRS.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the annexed Judgment, of which the within is a true copy, will be submitted for entry on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2005, at 10:30 a.m., before the Judgment Clerk in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York Clerk's Office.

Dated: New York, New York July 1, 2005

Yours, etc.,

GARBARINI & SCHER, P.C.

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}$ 

Gregg D. Weinstock (GW-8078)

Attorneys for Defendants
The Legal Aid Society

432 Park Avenue South

New York, New York 10016-8013

(212) 689-1113

TO: JOSEPH RUSSELL

*Pro Se* Plaintiff No. 04A2657

Clinton Correctional Facility

P.O. Box 2001

Dannemora, New York 12929

F:\CASES\15886\Notice of Settlement of Judgment.wpd

1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

JOSEPH RUSSELL, :

Plaintiff, : CV-05-2165 (JBW)

v. : U.S. Courthouse

Brooklyn, N.Y.

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY,

Defendant. : TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

June 16, 2005

---- X Ten o'clock a.m.

**BEFORE:** 

HONORABLE JACK B. WEINSTEIN, U.S.D.J.

**APPEARANCES:** 

For the Plaintiff: JOSEPH RUSSELL, PRO SE

(By Telephone)

For the Defendant: GARBARINI & SCHER, P.C.

432 Park Avenue South

New York, New York 10016

By: CHRISTINÉ FERNANDEZ CORDOVA, ESQ.

Court Reporter:

Holly Driscoll, CSR 225 Cadman Plaza East

Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 260-2469

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.

1	THE COURT: Good morning.
2	THE CLERK: Civil cause for motion, Joseph Russell
3	versus the Legal Aid Society.
4	On the telephone, give your name please.
5	MR. RUSSELL: Joseph Russell.
6	THE CLERK: For the Legal Aid Society?
7	MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Christine Fernandez Cordova
8	บโ Lhe firm Garbarini & Scher. Good morning, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good morning. Do you want time to get
10	an attorney, sir?
11	MR. RUSSELL: Excuse me?
12	THE COURT: Mr. Russell, do you want time to get an
13	attorney?
14	MR. RUSSELL: I can't afford one, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You want to proceed immediately?
16	MR. RUSSELL: Yes, not unless you appoint me an
17	attorney.
18	THE COURT: I will not appoint an attorney.
19	MR. RUSSELL: Okay.
20	THE COURT: All right, I'll hear the motion to
21	dismiss.
22	MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Your Honor, this is
23	Mr. Russell's third complaint. The first complaint was
24	dismissed by Judge Trager. The second complaint was dismissed
25	by Your Honor.

THE COURT: Can you hear, sir?

MR. RUSSELL: Yes, barely but I can hear.

THE COURT: Keep you your voice up, madame, please.

MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Your Honor, this is
Mr. Russell's third complaint. The first complaint was
dismissed by Judge Trager. The second complaint was dismissed
by Your Honor. This complaint was filed in Kings County which
it asserts essentially the similar allegation. The only thing
that's been removed is the Section 1983 cause of action.

Our contention is that the plaintiff's complaint in its current format, just as it has been on the two prior occasions, is just facially insufficient. The plaintiff does not set forth any cause of action that would permit him to go forward. Your Honor has already ruled on his legal malpractice claim as part of the last motion finding that it was without merit together with the federal 1983 cause of action which was, likewise, without merit which was his second 1983 action.

The only allegation that's different in this complaint, Your Honor, is a claim that the case should have been tried in Kings County and not Queens County. That is the one sentence of the complaint that is essentially different and we would argue, Your Honor, that that does not sufficiently change this complaint to permit this case to go forward.

\_

As with respect to the legal malpractice cause of actions, under New York law a legal malpractice cause of action for a criminal conviction can't go forward to the extent that the conviction and sentence have gone undisturbed which is, in fact, the case, as I understand it, with respect to Mr. Russell.

So, we would argue that for all of the reasons that Your Honor had previously dismissed the last complaint and that Judge Trager dismissed the complaint before, that this case should likewise be dismissed and obviously there is a resjudicate issue because this is the same complaint with the exception of one and a half sentences regarding the venue.

THE COURT: All right. What is your answer to that motion to dismiss, sir?

MR. RUSSELL: My answer is, first of all, I commenced a state malpractice action and there was no federal question involved in the state malpractice action. I stated that Ms. Kurtz violated her fiduciary duty in representing me when I requested for her to give the People notice in the criminal proceedings that I would like to exercise my right of due process. She took it upon herself and discriminated against me to the fact that there was a domestic violence case and waiving my rights to appear before grand jury proceedings without my consent or approval.

I commenced the state action. The attorney then had

jurisdiction in the first place. I didn't know what I was doing the first time. I come to you because the motion to dismiss is ordinarily directed to allegations of the complaint and the claim for relief can conceivably prove the defendant's motion should not be granted. I'm requesting that you remand it back to state court under attorney malpractice so I can proceed to trial on this proceedings, Your Honor, due to the

THE COURT: You did not appeal from the dismissal of Judge Trager or from my dismissal, did you?

fact that the federal court lacks jurisdiction.

MR. RUSSELL: Judge Trager, no, I didn't, I never appealed from Judge Trager in the federal 1983 because he directed me to commence an action in the state court of malpractice because I couldn't proceed on a federal level under that claim of malpractice. So that's what I did.

Now, the second claim I did is a state action. The defendants had it removed to federal court which never really had jurisdiction but at the time I was ignorant of the law and I didn't know what I was doing and I ask you that I would like to proceed on the federal level and you have dismissed it because I couldn't proceed on the federal level. Now I'm humbly requesting that you have it remanded back to state court so I can proceed on the state level for malpractice.

THE COURT: You did not appeal from my decision

1 dismissing your claim, did you? 2 MR. RUSSELL: Yes, I did. 3 THE COURT: You did appeal? 4 MR. RUSSELL: Yes, I did. 5 THE COURT: What was the result? 6 MR. RUSSELL: The appeal was denied. 7 THE COURT: Well, you've had your day in court. 8 You're barred by res judicata from continuing to harass these 9 defendants. 10 MR. RUSSELL: Wait, can you say that again, I'm 11 barred because of what? 12 Res judicata, the matter has been THE COURT: adjudicated, you've been adjudicated in a trial court and on 13 14 an appeal. 15 MR. RUSSELL: No, I wasn't adjudicated on a trial 16 court. The case never went to trial. The case was dismissed 17 in Kings County because of lack of jurisdiction which 18 Ms. Kurtz knew this because I explained this to her, the case is going to be dismissed because of lack of jurisdiction, you 19 20 have no right to even waive my rights to appear before a grand 21 jury. The case was dismissed in Kings County because of lack 22 of jurisdiction, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I'm talking about your civil case in 24 this court. You had a civil case in this court before me,

25

correct?

That's correct, on the federal level. MR. RUSSELL: 1 THE COURT: I dismissed it, correct? 2 On the federal level, that's correct. MR. RUSSELL: 3 THE COURT: You appealed, correct? 4 MR. RUSSELL: That's correct. 5 THE COURT: Your appeal was denied, correct? 6 That's correct. MR. RUSSELL: 7 THE COURT: Your claim has now been fully 8 adjudicated. You cannot continue to bring essentially the 9 same claim over and over again. 10 MR. RUSSELL: It is not the same claim, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Well, in my opinion it is. 12 MR. RUSSELL: Okay, that's --13 THE COURT: In what way is it different? 14 MR. RUSSELL: Because Ms. Kurtz, okay, in my first 15 complaint I stated that she conspired to a waiver of my rights 16 which was wrong, I didn't know what I was doing, but in all 17 actuality she violated her fiduciary duty and my trust in her 18 to handle my case. She was appointed to me, I trusted her and 19 I put my life in her hands. 20 In what way is your present claim THE COURT: 21 different from the claim that I dismissed and that you 22 23 appealed? MR. RUSSELL: It is different in several aspects, 24

Your Honor. It is different in one that it is a state claim

25

and not a federal claim and I'm suing the Legal Aid Society and not Ms. Kurtz.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. RUSSELL: It was two different entities. I was suing Ms. Kurtz in her own personal capacity, now I'm suing the Legal Aid Society, the corporation, for malpractice.

THE COURT: Yes. You're barred by res judicata and collateral estoppel. You can't continue to bring these claims. I'm going to dismiss the claim again --

MR. RUSSELL: Okay.

THE COURT: -- on those grounds and on the ground that there's absolutely no merit to this claim. Now, there will be a judgment entered for the defendants. You have the right to appeal. If you continue to bring the same claim in this court, I am going to charge you for costs and disbursements.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay.

THE COURT: I cannot allow the same case essentially to be brought over and over again because it becomes a matter of harassment of these defendants.

MR. RUSSELL: I didn't --

THE COURT: Excuse me, I'm not finished.

MR. RUSSELL: Right.

THE COURT: These defendants have limited assets and they are designed to be used to help people who are in

1 trouble. MR. RUSSELL: Right. 2 THE COURT: I am not going to continue to allow 3 those assets to be expended and frittered away on suits of 4 this kind that in my opinion are without merit. 5 Now, if I'm wrong, the Court of Appeals will 6 reverse, right? Is that right? 7 MR. RUSSELL: I guess so. You're right. 8 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. I've done all I could for 9 you. You know, you're a nice man and I like you. 10 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. 11 THE COURT: But I can't help you. 12 Right. You can't remand my case back 13 MR. RUSSELL: to state court where I commenced it? 14 THE COURT: No, because I'm dismissing it. 15 MR. RUSSELL: Okay, beautiful. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much and have a good 17 18 day, sir. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you. 19 MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Submit a short memorandum and judgment. 21 MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: I will. 22 THE COURT: Based on res judicata, collateral 23 estoppel can be claimed by your new defendant, and on the 24 No costs and disbursements. 25 merits.

MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: And we should submit that to Your Honor? THE COURT: Submit a judgment, yes. MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Okay. THE COURT: Order a copy of the minutes. Will you arrange to send a copy please to the plaintiff. MS. FERNANDEZ CORDOVA: Absolutely. Thanks very much. Have a good summer. THE COURT: (Time noted: 10:30 a.m.) (End of proceedings.) 

## AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF NEW YORK	)
	) ss.
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	)

MAUREEN GRIFFIN, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that the deponent is a resident of Copiague, New York, is not a party of the action, and is over 18 years of age.

That on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2005, the undersigned served the within NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF JUDGMENT and PROPOSED JUDGMENT dated July 1, 2005 upon the attorneys named below by depositing a true copy of the same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York, and directed to the said attorneys at the address indicated below, such address being the address designated by said attorneys for that purpose.

Joseph Russell

Pro Se Plaintiff
No. 04-A-2657
Clinton Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 2001
Dannemora, New York 12929

Sworn to before me this 1st day of July, 2005

Paulette Romero

Notary Public, State of New York

Registration #01R06049073

Qualified in Bronx County

My Commissions Expires Oct. 2, 2006